## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>(R-07-00760</u> RMW)
James albert Pando, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Assistant U.S. Attorney J. Slang. PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on, 200 g.  The United States was represented by
offense, and a period of not more than five (5) years ha from imprisonment, whichever is later.	described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been 142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local is elapsed since the date of conviction or the release of the person no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
/ / There is probable cause based upon (the in defendant has committed an offense	dictment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the
B. under 18 U.S.C. & 974(c): use of a	fireness during the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the hypothesis and the safety of the defendant as required and the safety of the hypothesis are reputable presumption that no appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the hypothesis are reputable presumption that no appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the hypothesis are reputable presumption that no appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the hypothesis are reputable presumption that no appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the hypothesis are reputable presumption that no appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the hypothesis are required as	to condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the of the community. $0.52008$
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE / / The defendant has not come forward with a will be ordered detained.	E  my evidence to rebut the applicable presumption[s], and he therefore
/ / The defendant has come forward with evide	ence to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit:
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the Unit PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED O	OR INAPPLICABLE)
conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the d	rance of the evidence that no condition or combination of lefendant as required, AND/OR convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMEN	the community. T OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
// Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	specific water protest
appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportu- court of the United States or on the request of an attorney for	torney General or his designated representative for confinement in a rsons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending unity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall rpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
Dated: /1/5/08	PATRICIA V. TRUMBULL United States Magistrate Judge